UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,576	10/08/2004	Angelo Benvenuti	6392/PCT	9286
BREINER & B P.O. BOX 1929			EXAMINER KIM, SANG K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-0290			
			3654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
BENVENUTI ET AL.	
Art Unit	
3654	

	SANG KIM	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	liana a with 07 OFD 44 07 mount be	filed within two meant				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: <u> </u>					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 46-75,77-81, 82/83,83,84/83,85, and 86/83-88/83 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 46-75,77-81, 82/83,83,84/83,85, and 86	5/83-88/83					
Claim(s) objected to: none.	•					
Claim(s) rejected: 89,90,82/90,84/90,86/90,87/90 and 88,	<u>′90</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to calculate a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Styl_	_			
		Peter M. Cuomo	•			
		rvisory Patent Exan chnology Center 360				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. The limitation added to claims 89 and 90, regarding a glue container disposed underneath the winding cradle, is an example of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, applicant asserts that the added language is based on lanuage of allowed claim 55. Thus, since similar structure has been previously considered and the amendment is presented in view of a new rejection, the amendment is properly considerable at this time. Examiner would like to point out that the amended claims presented by the applicant with similar structure of claim 55, is an example of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search as explained above